



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# STUDENT FEEDBACK

## 2015-16

**Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences**

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course  
(July 2016)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Anatomy	22.574	5.737	Excellent
2	Physiology	21.630	5.511	Excellent
3	Biochemistry	21.000	5.460	Very Good
4	Pharmacology	26.100	3.061	Excellent
5	Pathology	19.200	6.408	Very Good
6	Microbiology	22.700	5.021	Excellent
7	FMT	19.700	5.498	Very Good
8	Ophthalmology	18.267	6.294	Very Good
9	ENT	18.600	7.496	Very Good
10	PSM	21.200	6.168	Very Good
11	Medicine	23.462	6.432	Excellent
12	Surgery	21.957	6.044	Excellent
13	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	24.686	5.297	Excellent
14	Paediatrics	24.400	5.153	Excellent



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	111.23	45.46	Very Good
III/I	133.24	46.59	Very Good
III/III	Nil	Nil	Nil
Interns	102.95	44.05	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-16	Poor
17-32	Good
33-48	Very Good
49-64	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	39.024	14.794	Very Good
III/I	35.375	14.945	Very Good
III/III	32.775	16.020	Very Good
Interns	43.000	17.298	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	8	0	0	5	3
2	Physiology	7	0	0	6	1
3	Biochemistry	6	0	0	0	6
4	Pathology	11	0	0	9	2
5	Microbiology	7	0	0	2	5
6	Pharmacology	5	0	0	2	3
7	FMT	4	0	0	2	2
8	Ophthalmology	7	0	0	5	2
9	ENT	4	0	0	3	1
10	PSM	10	0	0	8	2
11	Medicine	24	0	0	1	23
12	Surgery	22	0	0	1	21
13	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	17	0	0	5	12
14	Paediatrics	14	0	0	2	12
<b>Total Teaching Staff</b>		<b>146</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>95</b>



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16

**Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences**

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course  
(December 2016)

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-07	Poor
08-14	Good
15-21	Very Good
22-28	Excellent


<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Anatomy	23.23	4.96	Excellent
2	Physiology	22.23	5.43	Excellent
3	Biochemistry	22.74	5.59	Excellent
4	Pharmacology	24.26	5.22	Excellent
5	Pathology	19.23	6.38	Very Good
6	Microbiology	20.68	5.65	Very Good
7	FMT	18.15	7.57	Very Good
8	Ophthalmology	19.89	6.58	Very Good
9	ENT	16.89	7.11	Very Good
10	PSM	21.20	5.96	Excellent
11	Medicine	22.14	5.73	Excellent
12	Surgery	21.25	5.88	Very Good
13	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	23.44	5.21	Excellent
14	Paediatrics	21.16	6.36	Very Good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT



<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-15	Poor
16-30	Good
31-45	Very Good
46-60	Excellent

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of the Department</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
1	Interns	40.615	13.8	Very Good







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Library

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-20	Poor
21-40	Good
41-60	Very Good
61-80	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	59.55	19.77	Very Good
III/I	48.85	18.98	Very Good
III/III	50.09	20.19	Very Good
Interns	52.55	19.11	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Infrastructure

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-46	Poor
47-92	Good
93-138	Very Good
139-184	Excellent

<i>Class of Students</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Remark</i>
II/I	133.77	41.25	Very Good
III/I	107.52	43.67	Very Good
III/III	112.02	42.27	Very Good
Interns	115.92	44.25	Very Good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Analysis of Feedback Forms on Teaching Staff

<i>Score</i>	<i>Grade</i>
01-08	Poor
09-16	Good
17-24	Very Good
25-32	Excellent


Sr. No.	Name of the Department	No. of Teaching Staff	Frequency			
			Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
1	Anatomy	7	0	0	1	6
2	Physiology	7	0	0	2	5
3	Biochemistry	8	0	0	2	6
4	Pharmacology	6	0	0	3	3
5	Pathology	11	0	0	9	2
6	Microbiology	6	0	0	5	1
7	FMT	4	0	0	4	0
8	Ophthalmology	6	0	0	5	1
9	ENT	6	0	0	6	0
10	PSM	9	0	0	4	5
11	Medicine	21	0	0	17	4
12	Surgery	22	0	0	18	4
13	Obstetrics & Gynaecology	17	0	0	13	4
14	Paediatrics	14	0	0	12	2
<b>Total Teaching Staff</b>		<b>144</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>43</b>



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT


### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### School of Dental Sciences

#### Students' Overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

#### Analysis of Feedback Forms on Course

#### 1<sup>st</sup> BDS (100)






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### 2<sup>nd</sup> BDS (86)



### 3<sup>rd</sup> BDS (73)






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### 4<sup>th</sup> BDS (96)



### Q1. How was the syllabus?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q2. Your background for benefitting from the course was:



Q3. Were you able to get prescribed readings?








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q4. What is your opinion about library material for the course?



Q5. Was the course conceptually difficult to understand?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q6. How well was the teacher able to communicate?



Q7. How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

Q8. Were your assignments discussed with you?



Q9. Was there any opportunity for small group work?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

Feedback was obtained from all the students on the aspects like design of course, professional skills of teachers, schedule of examination and results, feedback on complaint, student services, behaviour of staff and officers, facilities and extracurricular activities.

Results of analysis shows that almost all students response was good (87-100%).

#### 1<sup>st</sup> year BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	2	2
Good	98	98
Total	100	100

**Total Score =50; Poor ≤ 15.6; Average = 15.7 to 31.4; Good ≥ 31.5**

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> year BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	8	8.9
Good	82	91.1
Total	90	100

**Total Score =50; Poor ≤ 16.3; Average = 16.4 to 32.6; Good ≥ 32.7**

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> year BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	12	12.8
Good	82	87.2
Total	94	100

**Total Score =50; Poor ≤ 16.3; Average = 16.4 to 32.6; Good ≥ 32.7**



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### 4<sup>th</sup> BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	2	3.3
Good	59	96.7
Total	61	100

**Total Score =50 ; Poor  $\leq$  15.3; Average = 15.4 to 30.7 ; Good  $\geq$  30.8**

### 1<sup>st</sup> PB BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	-	-
Good	3	100
Total	3	100

### 2<sup>nd</sup> PB BSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	1	10
Good	9	90
Total	10	100

**Total Score =50 ; Poor  $\leq$  11.6; Average = 11.7 to 23.3 ; Good  $\geq$  23.4**

### 1<sup>st</sup> MSc Nursing:

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	-	-
Good	8	100
Total	8	100

**Total Score =50 ; Poor  $\leq$  15; Average = 15.1 to 30 ; Good  $\geq$  30.1**



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

**2<sup>nd</sup> MSc Nursing:**

	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	-	-
Average	-	-
Good	9	100
Total	9	100

**Total Score =50 ; Poor  $\leq$  12.6; Average = 12.7 to 25.3 ; Good  $\geq$  25.4**



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS

1<sup>st</sup> BPTd

(2015 - 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr. No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ANATOMY	23.5	2.17	Very Good
2.	PHYSIOLOGY	23.6	2.28	Very Good
3.	BIOCHEMISTRY	23.7	2.40	Very Good
4.	FUND. EXE THERAPY	23.8	2.36	Very Good
5.	FUND. ELE THERAPY	23.6	2.32	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS


II<sup>nd</sup> BPTb

(2015 - 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PATHO - MICRO	45.6	2.40	Excellent
2.	PHARMACOLOGY	44.7	1.94	Excellent
3.	PSYCHOLOGY	44.2	2.41	Excellent
4.	KINESIOTHERAPY	47.4	2.78	Excellent
15.	ELECTRICAL AGENT	46.7	2.11	Excellent

II nd BPTb



PREPARED BY

*[Signature]*

APPROVED BY

*[Signature]*





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS

III<sup>rd</sup> BPTb

(2015 - 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	ORTHO SURGERY	22.7	2.05	Very Good
2.	MEDICINE	22.4	1.94	Very Good
3.	COMMUNITY HEALTH	22.7	1.89	Very Good
4.	OBGY	22.6	1.92	Very Good
5.	PSYCHIATRY	22.5	2.32	Very Good
6.	PDMS	22.7	2.04	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY

ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS


IV<sup>th</sup> BPT<sup>h</sup>

(2015 - 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT IN MUSCULO	26.6	1.9	Very Good
2.	PT IN NEURO	24.3	1.8	Very Good
3.	PT IN MEDICAL SURGICAL	25.2	1.9	Very Good
4.	PT IN COMMUNITY	25.3	1.8	Very Good

IV<sup>th</sup> BPT<sup>h</sup>



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


#### ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS

I<sup>st</sup> MPT<sub>h</sub>

(2015 – 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 – 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	PT PRAC I	21.5	0.7	Very Good
2.	PT PRAC II	23	0	Very Good
3.	ADV PT I	22	0	Very Good
4.	ADV PT II	22	0	Very Good
5.	RESEARCH & BIostat	23	1.4	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES DEEMED UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF PHYSIOTHERAPY


ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK FORMS ON COURSE BY STUDENTS

II<sup>nd</sup> MPTb

(2015 - 2016)

SCORE	GRADE
01 - 07	Poor
08 - 14	Fair
15 - 21	Good
22 - 28	Very Good
29 & Above	Excellent

Sr.No	Name of the Department	Mean	SD	Remark
1.	GENERAL	24.2	0.83	Very Good
2.	SPECIALITY	24	1.8	Very Good



PREPARED BY

APPROVED BY



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### Teachers Feedback 2015-2016

#### 1<sup>st</sup> BPTb

1st Year BPTb Staff	Mean	S.D
Dr Rutika Patil	22.15789	5.961708
Dr Poonam patil	19.57895	7.031326
Dr J Jayprakash	32.92105	4.419744
Dr Trupti warude	27.81579	6.509267
Dr Khushboo bathia	25.57895	5.655094
Dr Namrata patil	19.02632	6.667739






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### 2<sup>nd</sup> BPTH

2nd Year BPTH Staff	Mean	S.D
Dr vaishali jagtap	25.16	6.52
Dr smita kanase	30.08	4.55
Dr suraj kanase	26.49	5.64
Dr Rutika patil	27.11	4.24






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3<sup>rd</sup> Year BPTH.

3rd Year BPTH. Staff	Mean	S.D
Dr Amrutkuvar pawar	30.05	4.8
Dr Sandeep shinde	26.97	4.78
Dr T Poovishnu Devi	31.26	4.92
Dr Pravin Gawali	28.28	5.9






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### 4<sup>th</sup> Year BPTH

4th Year BPTH.	Mean	SD
Dr Amrutkuvar Pawar	31.13	4.80
Dr Sandeep shinde	28.06	4.68
Dr Smita kanase	30.19	4.81
Dr J Jayprakash	32.41	3.99
Dr Suraj kanase	28.16	6.03
Dr S Anandh	28.53	4.92
Dr Vaishali jagtap	28.06	5.29
Dr Javid sagar	31.78	3.71
Dr S Anand	29.16	5.49
Dr Trupti warude	25.69	5.66
Dr Vaishali jagtap	27.00	6.32







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Faculty of Allied Sciences

#### Students’ feedback on infrastructure

Parameters	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Infrastructure facilities provided	0%	55.55%	22.22%	22.22%
Academic facilities	8.33%	47.22%	22.22%	22.22%
Helpfulness of administrative staff	11.11%	27.77%	41.66%	19.44%
Availability of sitting space in library	16.66%	27.77%	30.55%	25%
Adequacy of additional inputs	5.55%	52.77%	25%	16.66%
Placement of Students	0%	33.33%	36.11%	30.55%
Availability of Books or Magazines the Library	13.88%	33.33%	25%	27.77%
Availability of Laboratory facilities	0%	33.33%	25%	41.66%



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

Students’ feedback on course content

Parameters	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Learning value(in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)	0%	44.44%	44.44%	11.11%
Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	11.11%	55.55%	22.22%	11.11%
Depth of the course Contents	8.33%	47.22%	27.77%	16.66%
Extent of the coverage of Course	2.77%	58.33%	36.11%	2.77%
Clarity & relevance of reading material	2.77%	41.66%	41.66%	13.88%
Extent of effort required by students	8.33%	47.22%	36.11%	8.33%
Relevance/ learning value of project/ report	5.55%	36.11%	44.44%	13.88%
Overall rating	0%	36.11%	38.88%	25%



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

Students' feedback on teachers

PARAMETERS	% Students rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Knowledge base of the teacher(as perceive by you)	0%	33.88%	42.21%	23.33%
Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	2.21%	25.55%	38.33%	33.88%
Sincerity/ commitment of the teacher	2.77%	32.77%	30.55%	33.88%
Interest generated by the teacher	3.33%	26.10%	41.10%	29.43%
Ability to integrate content with other courses	4.44%	28.32%	37.21%	29.99%
Accessibility of the teacher in & out of the class(included availability of the teacher to motivate further study & discussion outside class)	1.66%	28.88%	34.99%	34.44%
Ability to design quizzes/ tests/assignments/ examinations & project to evaluate students understanding of the course	2.77%	25.55%	31.66%	39.99%
Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.55%	32.77%	41.10%	25.54%
Overall rating	0%	24.44%	37.77%	37.77%



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

### **STUDENT FEEDBACK 2015-16**

#### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Library facilities improvement
2. Students are losing their journals after submitting
3. Practical hours should be given more importance
4. Don't teach on PPT because some teachers come unprepared and teach just looking at the PPT
5. Outdoor sports need more attention regarding its maintenance



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# TEACHER FEEDBACK

## 2015-16

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

Sr No	1 (Poor)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 (Very good)	5 (Excellent)	Report
1	0(0%)	0(0%)	22(40%)	28(50.91%)	5(9.09%)	28(50.91%) Reported Information available on as V Good
2	0(0%)	0(0%)	12(21.82%)	38(69.09%)	5(9.09%)	38(69.09%) Reported Design of course as very good
3	0(0%)	0(0%)	21(38.18%)	30(54.55%)	4(7.27%)	30(54.55%) Reported Professional skill of teachers as very good
4	0(0%)	1(1.82%)	16(29.09%)	34(61.82%)	4(7.27%)	34(61.82%) Reported schedule of examination as very good
5	0(0%)	3(5.45%)	22(40.00%)	27(49.09%)	3(5.45%)	27(49.09%) Reported Schedule of Result as very good
6	0(0%)	3(5.45%)	18(32.73%)	31(56.36%)	3(5.45%)	31(56.36%) Reported Feedback on complaint as very good
7	0(0%)	2(3.64%)	28(50.91%)	20(36.36%)	5(9.09%)	28(50.91%) Reported Student services as very good
8	0(0%)	5(9.09%)	15(27.27%)	30(54.55%)	5(9.09%)	30(54.55%) Reported Behavior of staff and officers as very good
9	0(0%)	2(3.64%)	14(25.45%)	18(32.73%)	21(38.18%)	21(38.18%) Reported Facilities (Transport, canteen, ATM, Photocopy) Etc as excellent
10	0(0%)	0(0%)	11(20%)	39(70.91%)	5(9.09%)	39(70.91%) Reported Extra curricular activities as very good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### TEACHER FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – I BPTd

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Fundamentals of exercise therapy	26	0	Excellent
2	Fundamentals of electro therapy	27	0	excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2015-16

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Psychology	23.5	0.707107	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	25	0	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	23.5	0.707107	Excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2015-16

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – III BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Community health	26	1.414214	Very good
2	PDMS	26	1	Very good







# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2015-16

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – IV BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	PT in musculoskeletal	27	0	Excellent
2	PT in neurosciences	26	0	Excellent
3	PT in medical and surgical	27	1.414214	Excellent
4	PT in community health	26	1.414214	Very good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT TEACHER FEEDBACK 2015-16**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Field visit / health camps should be increased for research purpose
2. Clinical hours should be increased



**KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES  
“DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD**

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

**STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

**ALUMNI FEEDBACK**

**2015-16**

**Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences**

	1	2	3	4	Remark
1	0	3(3.29%)	48(52.74)	40(43.95%)	48(52.74) reported as College infra structure is good
2	5	42(46.15%)	57(62.63%)	7(7.6%)	57(62.63%) reported as Facilities provided in hostel is good
3	26(28.57%)	39(42.85%)	18(19.78%)	8(8.79%)	39(42.85%) reported as Helpfulness of administrative staff is average
4	1(1.09%)	32(35.16%)	54(59.34%)	4(4.3%)	54(59.34%) reported as Availability of library books /magazine is good
5	26(28.57%)	49(53.84%)	11(12.08%)	4(4.3%)	49(53.84%) reported as Availability of seating place in library is average
6	1(1.09%)	33(36.26%)	53(58.24%)	4(4.3%)	53(58.24%) reported as Academic facilities are good
7	1(1.09%)	19(20.87%)	57(62.63%)	14(15.38%)	57(62.63%) reported as Teaching ability of faculty is good



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

8	5(5.4%)	39(42.85%)	39(42.85%)	7(7.6%)	39(42.85%) reported as good and average for Adequacy of additional input
9	11(12.08%)	40(43.95%)	29(31.86%)	3(3.29%)	40(43.95%) reported as Safe drinking water facility is average
10	8(8.7%)	37(40.65%)	32(35.16%)	6(6.59%)	37(40.65%) reported as Overall experience as student .



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – BPTTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	I BPTTh	25.2	0.83666	Very good
2	II BPTTh	25.6	0.547723	Very good
3	III BPTTh	25.4	0.547723	Very good
4	IV BPTTh	26.2	1.095445	Very good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – MPTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	I MPTh	27.5	1.732051	Very good
2	II MPTh	28.5	4.041452	Very good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Parameters	% Alumni rating as			
	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
Infrastructure facilities provided	0%	40%	20%	40%
Academic facilities	0%	0%	60%	40%
Helpfulness of administrative Staff	0%	10%	40%	50%
Adequacy of additional inputs	0%	30%	40%	30%
Recognition to the students of the Institute by Industries / Academic Institute	0%	0%	60%	40%
Placement of Students	0%	0%	50%	50%



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT**

### **ALUMNI FEEDBACK 2015-16**

#### **Any other suggestions:**

1. More conferences / CMEs should be conducted for advancing practical knowledge.
2. Workshops to be conducted in the University





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# PEER FEEDBACK

## 2015-16

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

(1.strongly disagree, 2 .disagree, 3. neither agree nor disagree, 4. agree, 5. strongly agree)

Sr No	1	2	3	4	5	Particulars
1	0	0	0	15(83.33%)	3(16.66%)	15 (83.33%) reported agree for Syllabus is ne based & suitable to the course.
2	0	0	0	14(77.77%)	4(22.22%)	14 (77.77%)reported agree for Aims and objectives of the syllabi are well defined
3	0	0	0	4(22.22%)	14(77.77%)	14(77.77%) reported agree for Course conten followed by corresponding update Reference materials
4	0	0	1	2(11.11%)	15(83.33%)	15(83.33%)reported strongly agree for Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the Library per subject.
5	0	0	0	3(16.66%)	15(83.33%)	15(83.33%)reported agree for The course/sy has good balance between Theory and applic
6	0	0	0	4(22.22%)	14(77.77%)	14 (77.77%)reported strongly agree for Tests and examinations schedule is well planned An scheme of examination is well suited for overall assessment
7	0	0	0	7(38.88%)	11(61.11%)	11 (61.11%)reported strongly agree for Unbiased and fair evaluation method is Practiced in theory and practical Assessment.
8	0	0	0	6(33.33%)	12(66.66%)	12 (66.66%)reported strongly agree for Good and in time remuneration facilitated.
9	0	0	0	8(44.44%)	10(55.55%)	10 (55.55%)reported strongly agree for Ecofriendly and green campus with Comfortable staying facilities provided
10	0	0	0	8(44.44%)	10(55.55%)	10 (55.55%) reported strongly agree for Teaching and administrative staffs are co-operative and practice good communicatio



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### PEER FEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – I BPTb

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Fundamentals of exercise therapy	26	0	Very good
2	Fundamentals of electro therapy	25.5	0.707106781	Very good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

**PEER FEDBACK 2015-16**

**Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II BTh**

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Psychology	25	1.414214	Excellent
2	Kinesiotherapeutics	25.5	0.707107	Excellent
3	Electrical agents	23	0	Excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

### PEER FEDBACK 2015-16

#### Krishna College of Physiotherapy – III BPTth

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
1	Community health	25	1.414214	Very good
2	PDMS	25.5	2.12132	Very good





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

PEER FEDBACK 2015-16

Krishna College of Physiotherapy – II MPTh

<u>SCORE</u>	<u>GRADE</u>
01 - 07	Poor
08 – 14	Fair
15 – 21	Good
22 - 28	Very good
28 & above	Excellent

<u>SR. NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>SD</u>	<u>REMARK</u>
2	Speciality	24.5	0.707107	Excellent





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PEER FEEDBACK 2015-16**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. ICU Management should be focused and given more importance



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# PARENT FEEDBACK

## 2015-16

### Krishna Institute of Nursing Sciences

SR NO	1 (Poor)	2 (Average)	3 (Good)	4 Very good	5 Excellent	REPORT
1	2(4.26)	7(14.89)	29(61.70)	9(19.15)	0	<b>29(61.70)</b> Reported as <b>Good</b> in Infrastructure facilities namely library, laboratory, canteen and other campus facilities
2	1(2.13)	6(12.77)	29(61.70)	10(21.28)	5(10.64)	<b>29(61.70)</b> Reported as <b>good</b> in programs arranged by department for achieving clinical exposure
3	3(6.38)	8(17.02)	18(38.30)	15(31.91)	3(6.38)	<b>18(38.30)</b> Reported as <b>good</b> Encouragement to students for participation in various co-curricular activities
4	2(4.26)	3(6.38)	20(42.55)	19(40.43)	3(6.38)	<b>20(42.55)</b> Reported as <b>good</b> in Quality of academic resources namely teachers, course material etc.
5	1(2.13)	9(19.15)	23(48.94)	11(23.40)	2(4.26)	<b>23(48.94)</b> Reported as <b>good</b> in placement activities
6	0	12(25.53)	22(46.81)	13(27.66)	0	<b>22(46.81)</b> Reported as <b>good</b> in Efforts taken by department for overall grooming and personality development
7	1(2.13)	8(17.02)	23(48.94)	13(27.66)	2(4.26)	<b>23(48.94)</b> Reported as good in Student mentoring



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### PARENT FEEDBACK 2015-16


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size: 6

1) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination)?



2) How is the institute policy for sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics and values?








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3) How do you rate monitoring mechanism for teaching learning by the institute?



4) How do you rate the institute for the availability of clinical facilities and patients?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

5) How do you rate the institute for conducting academic activities for better knowledge and skill acquisition (deep learning)?



6) How do you rate the institute for the availability and adequacy of classrooms, demonstration rooms, practical halls and clinical (patients) material?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

7) How are the basic requirements (hostel facilities, hygienic food and safe drinking water) provided by the institute?



8) How are the co-curricular and extra-curricular activities (sport / gymnasium) facilities provided by the institute?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How do you rate the institute efforts in context to getting career guidance / placement activities?



10) How do you rate the transparency in evaluation process of examination system?





# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## **STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT PARENT FEEDBACK 2015-16**

### **Any other suggestions:**

1. Arrange transportation during cultural programs
2. Offer IELTS coaching for students and staff



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

# EMPLOYEE FEEDBACK

## 2015-16

### School of Dental Sciences

Sr No	On my present job, this is how I feel about I	POOR 1	GOOD 2	VERY GOOD 3	EXCELLENT 4
1	Being able to keep busy all the time	3%	27%	20%	60%
2	The change to work alone on the job	0%	19%	27%	54%
3	The change to do different things front time to lime	10%	22%	22%	45%
4	The change to be "somebody" in the community	0%	19%	27%	54%
5	The way my boss handles his men	7%	19%	27%	47%
6	The change to tell people what to do	2%	19%	25%	54%
7	The change to do something that makes use of my abilities The way institution policies are put into practice	10%	20%	24%	45%
8	My pay and the amount of work job	4%	19%	23%	54%
9	The change for advancement on this job	10%	16%	27%	47%
10	The freedom to use my own judgment	0%	19%	25%	56%
11	The chance to try my own methods of doing the job	10%	22%	22%	45%
12	The e working conditions	2%	19%	27%	52%
13	The way my co-workers get along with each other	7%	19%	27%	47%
14	The praise I get for doing a good job	0%	19%	27%	54%
15	The e feeling of accomplishment I get from the job	0%	12%	43%	45%
16	Being able to do things that don't go against my conscience	0%	19%	27%	54%
17	The way my job provide for safety employment	0	27%	38%	35%



# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)


## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

### EMPLOYEE FEEDBACK 2015-16


Krishna Institute of Allied Sciences

Sample Size: 7

1) How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like gender equality (non-discrimination)?



2) How is the institute policy of sensitizing students towards issues like environmental safety, ethics & values?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

3) How do you rate the community service / projects with NGOs, participation in various awareness campaigns, exhibitions on socially relevant issues etc?



4) How do you rate the involvement of institute in various national health programmes?








# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES “DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY”, KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER’S FEEDBACK REPORT

5) How do you rate institute for organizing guest lectures, workshops and conferences?



6) How do you rate institute for providing residence to employees?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD


(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

7) How do you rate the facilities provided by the institute for acquiring soft skills?



8) How do you rate overall working environment of the institute?






# KRISHNA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES "DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY", KARAD

(Declared under section 3 of the UGC Act, 1956 vide Notification No F.9-15 /2001-U.3 of the MHRD, Govt of India)

## STAKEHOLDER'S FEEDBACK REPORT

9) How is the information communication technology (ICT), sports / gymnasium facilities provided by the institute?



10) How do you rate the institute's efforts in context to getting jobs and placements for students?

